

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,108	02/27/2004	Tatsuhiko Miyata	NIT-415	5068
201952098 MACTTINGELY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			WANG, HARRIS C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2139	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/787.108 MIYATA ET AL. Examiner Art Unit HARRIS C. WANG 2139

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HARRIS C. WANG.	(3) <u>Daniel Stanger</u> .				
(2) <u>Christian LaForgia</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>12 February 2008</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2])☐ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☐ No. If Yes, brief description:					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>22-24</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>None</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner further clarified the grounds of the restriction requirement and the fact that the newly presented claims produced an undue burden on the Examiner. The representative for the Applicant and the Examiner discussed ways to overcome the restriction requirement. The Examiner will take further action upon receiving a formal response.					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendr allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no co allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	ppy of the amendments that would render the claims				

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview

> /Harris C Wang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2139 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.